that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council’s intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations
This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Joanna Davis at the Mid-Atlantic Council Office (see ADDRESSES) at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.


Richard W. Surdi,
Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 03–22341 Filed 8–29–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).


Title: Invention Promoters/Promotion Firms Complaints.

Form Number(s): PTO/SB/2048.

Agency Approval Number: 0651–0044.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 38 hours annually.

Number of Respondents: 100 responses per year.

Avg. Hours Per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take the public approximately 15 minutes (0.25 hours) to gather the necessary information, complete the form, and submit the complaint to the USPTO. The USPTO estimates that it will take an invention promoter or promotion firm approximately 30 minutes (0.5 hours) to prepare and submit a response to a complaint.

Needs and Uses: The Inventors’ Rights Act of 1999 requires the USPTO to provide a forum for publishing complaints concerning invention promoters and responses by the invention promoters to these complaints. An individual may submit a complaint to the USPTO, which is then forwarded to the identified invention promoter for response. Complaints and responses are published on the USPTO web site. The public uses this collection to submit a complaint to the USPTO regarding an invention promoter or promotion firm. The USPTO uses this information to comply with its statutory duty to publish the complaint along with any response from the invention promoter.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, businesses or other for-profits, and not-for-profit institutions.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Susan K. Brown, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Office of Data Architecture and Services, Data Administration Division, 703–308–7400, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, Attn: CPK 3 Suite 310; or by e-mail at susan.brown@uspto.gov.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before October 2, 2003 to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.


Susan K. Brown.
Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Office of Data Architecture and Services, Data Administration Division.

[FR Doc. 03–22253 Filed 8–29–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Revision of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Seal

[DOCKET NO. 2003–C–014]

Revision of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Seal


ACTION: Notice; Revision of agency seal.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office revises its agency seal.

ADDRESSES: Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard Maulsby at (703) 305–8341.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The USPTO is revising its official seal established under 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(1). Effective October 1, 2003, the USPTO adopts the following as its seal with which letters patent, certificates of trademark registrations, and papers issued by USPTO will be authenticated and which shall be judicially noticed:

- The United States Patent and Trademark Office
  - Adopted under 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(1)
  - Effective October 1, 2003
  - Seal
  - Invention Promoters/Promotion Firms Complaints
  - Avg. Hours Per Response: 15 minutes
  - Frequency: On occasion
  - Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Susan K. Brown, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Office of Data Architecture and Services, Data Administration Division, 703–308–7400, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, Attn: CPK 3 Suite 310; or by e-mail at susan.brown@uspto.gov.
Denying Entry to Textiles and Textile Products Allegedly Manufactured by a Certain Company in Botswana

August 26, 2003.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner, Bureau of Customs and Border Protection to deny entry to shipments allegedly manufactured by a certain company in Botswana.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854); Executive Order 12475 of May 9, 1984, as amended.

The Bureau of Customs and Border Protection has conducted on-site verification of textile and apparel production in a number of foreign countries. Based on information obtained through on-site verifications and from other sources, the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection has informed CITA that certain companies were illegally transshipping, were closed, or were unable to produce records to verify production. The Chairman of CITA has directed the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection to issue regulations regarding the denial of entry of shipments from such companies. (See Federal Register notice 64 FR 41395, published on July 30, 1999). In order to secure compliance with U.S. law, including Section 204 and Bureau of Customs and Border Protection law, to carry out textile and textile product agreements, and to avoid circumvention of textile agreements, the Chairman of CITA is directing the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection to deny entry to textile and textile products allegedly manufactured by Uni-Oriental (Pty) Ltd. of Botswana for two years. The Bureau of Customs and Border Protection has informed CITA that this company was found to have been illegally transshipping, closed, or unable to produce records to verify production.

Should CITA determine that this decision should be amended, such amendment will be published in the Federal Register.

D. Michael Hutchinson,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements
August 26, 2003.

Commissioner,
Bureau of Customs and Border Protection,
Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: The Bureau of Customs and Border Protection has conducted on-site verification of textile and textile product production in a number of foreign countries. Based on information obtained through on-site verifications and from other sources, the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection has informed CITA that certain companies were illegally transshipping, were closed, or were unable to produce records to verify production. The Chairman of CITA has directed the Bureau of Customs and Border Protection to issue regulations regarding the denial of entry of shipments from such companies (see directive dated July 27, 1999 (64 FR 41395), published on July 30, 1999). In order to secure compliance with U.S. law, including Section 204 and Bureau of Customs and Border Protection law, to carry out textile and textile product agreements, and to avoid circumvention of textile agreements, the Chairman of CITA directs the Commissioner, Bureau of Customs and Border Protection, effective for goods exported on and after September 2, 2003 and extending through September 1, 2005, to deny entry to textiles and textile products allegedly manufactured by the company Uni-Oriental (Pty) Ltd. of Botswana. The Bureau of Customs and Border Protection has informed CITA that this company was found to have been